

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,553	08/17/2001	James E. Hebert	5160-08	5160-08 3908	
32658 75	90 · 10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 1200 SEVENTEEN ST.			JUNTIMA, NITTAYA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO	80202		2663		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			.99
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/932,553	HEBERT, JAMES E.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nittaya Juntima	2663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the first part of the mailing of the first period for reply expires	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	NOT NET ET WASTIEL	B WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	had a dan ka kha akka ak kilina a bair	£	haaayaa
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further control (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and the second of the secon	onsideration and/or search (see NCow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		geotea diairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a			

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

SPE AU 2067

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As shown in Fig. 2 of Tosey, a link test or a ping signal must be (i) sent to a hub A22 in order to be fowarded to another peer network device to test the connectivity from the network device 21 to the hub A22 (col. 7, II 35-43), and (ii) a response (generated by the peer network device) from the hub A22 must be monitored for detection (col. 7, II 44-55). It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., generating a connectivity signal destined to the primary switch, detecting a response signal generated by the primary switch, and wherein the connectivity signal includes an electrical NIC connectivity test signal) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In addition, applicant failed to point out an error in the motivation. Therefore, claims 1-15 stand rejected.